

Interview Summary

Application No.

V. Ryan

Applicant(s) 08/846,933

Examiner

Group Art Unit

1641

Cleland et al



	Ryan	(3)	
	Kubinec		
	of Interview Apr 28, 199		
Гуре:	▼ Telephonic □ Personal (copy)	is given to \(\square \text{ applica}	nt
Exhibi	t shown or demonstration conducted:	☐ Yes 🛭 No. If y	ves, brief description:
Agreei	ment 🗌 was reached. 🔲 was not r	reached.	
Claim(s) discussed:		
dentif	ication of prior art discussed:		
		<u> </u>	
ic ciai	er description, if necessary, and a copy	y of the amendments, if	
ic ciai	er description, if necessary, and a copy ims allowable must be attached. Also	y of the amendments, if o, where no copy of the ched.)	available, which the examiner agreed would render amendents which would render the claims allowable
nless AST C	er description, if necessary, and a copy ims allowable must be attached. Also able, a summary thereof must be attached. It is not necessary for applicant to protect the paragraph above has been checked	y of the amendments, if p, where no copy of the ched.) rovide a separate record to indicate to the cont of MUST INCLUDE THE Section has already by	available, which the examiner agreed would render amendents which would render the claims allowable of the substance of the interview. Trary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE UBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP peop filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH.)

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-413 (Rev. 10-95)

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.



08/846,933

Applicant(s)

Cleland et al

Interview Summary

Examiner

Group Art Unit V. Ryan

1641

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):	
(1) <u>V. Ryan</u> (3)	
(2) <i>J. Kubinec</i> (4)	
Date of Interview May 5, 1998	
Type: 🛮 Telephonic 🗀 Personal (copy is given to 🗀 applicant 🗀 applicant's representative).	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes No. If yes, brief description:	
Agreement was reached. was not reached. Claim(s) discussed:	
Identification of prior art discussed:	
Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The attorney was informed that the Office Action of December 1, 1997 is hereby vacated. A Supplemental Office will be set forth and a two month Extension of Time will be required to avoid the abandonment of the application.	
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would rethe claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendents which would render the claims allow available, a summary thereof must be attached.)	
1. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.	
Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.)
2. Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 about is also checked.	e the
V.R	
Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.	